

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/667,483 | KAGAWA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | R. James Balls | 1625 | |

All Participants:

(1) R. James Balls.

(2) Arthur Crawford.

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 October 2006

Time: 10:47am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC §112

Claims discussed:

Claims 26-30

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were asked to cancel claims 12 and 27. Claim 12 was drawn to non-elected subject matter and should be grouped with Group I (as defined in the 12/6/2005 restriction requirement). Claim 27 improperly broadened the scope of the claim from which it depended. Applicants agreed to cancel the claims and submit an amended set of claims..